

**Minutes of the
NCJIS DISPOSITION REPORTING SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING**

June 24, 2014

The NCJIS **DISPOSITION REPORTING SUBCOMMITTEE** was called to order at 9:07 on Wednesday, June 24, 2014. Guinevere Hobdy presided in the training room of the General Services Division Building, Carson City, Nevada and via videoconference in room 501 of the Campos Building, Las Vegas, Nevada.

1. Call to order, roll call of members, and establish quorum.

Meeting called to order at 9:07 am.

Subcommittee Board Members Present:

Guinevere Hobdy, Department of Public Safety, General Services Division
Teresa Wiley, Sparks Police Department
Joey Orduna Hastings, 2nd Judicial District Court
Jan Budden, Douglas County District Attorney's Office
Kristen Aaquist, Sparks City Attorney
Madge Cassel, Clark County Detention Center
Tom Ely, Parole & Probation
Laura Snyder, Administrative Office of the Courts
Leslie Titus, North Las Vegas Municipal Court

Subcommittee Board Members Not Present:

Patty Peters, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
Scott Sosebee, Reno Justice Court
April Neiswonger, Walker River Justice Court
Lt. Mike Silva, Elko County Sheriff's Office
Crystal Allen, Douglas County Sheriff's Office
Shelly Williams, Nevada Department of Corrections
Rebecca Garcia, Gaming Control Board

Staff/ Others:

Jody-Ann Ashmore, Secretary
Laura English
Lori Story

2. Public comment

None

3. **For discussion:** Discuss outcome of the NCJIS Advisory Committee Meeting held on May 8, 2014, which reviewed the Subcommittee's suggestions for policies and procedures in disposition reporting to NCJIS.

Guinevere Hobdy: They [NCJIS Advisory Committee Meeting] did like our ideas but requested that it be separated into single items for the purpose of voting. They also want more detail for review. I did a quick draft that I sent out the morning of our last meeting. Does anybody have anything to add to that, if they have had a chance to review it? Do you think it is sufficient to go in front of the NCJIS Advisory Committee in July?

Unannounced: I think that the intent of the bulleted items covers what is needed. How is it going to look when we present this to them? I would suspect that we need more of a narrative and then summarize with the bullet points.

Guinevere Hobdy: I like that suggestion. I had planned to meet with Julie Butler to ask what format she needed it in. So, content is what I am looking at, is everyone agreeable with the content for me to present. How it is presented, narrative or bullet points will be up to Julie.

Unannounced: I agree that you will want to expand.

Unannounced: As far as content, we might want to dig in a little more into what issues and errors might possibly be and what we might know about them and how we could possibly track them.

Guinevere Hobdy: So, just more detail?

Unannounced: I think a lot of that we already know because we had the study. So, we have good examples of the types of errors and issues that we have experienced in the past. And I think it would be good to leverage that information and share with them to educate them in support of what we want.

Guinevere Hobdy: I will work on that. Do you guys want to see a draft of what I have done before it goes out?

All agree yes

Guinevere Hobdy: Ok, I will expand on this and do as you requested.

4. **For discussion and possible action:** Interim versus final disposition reporting

Guinevere Hobdy: I do not know a possible action is applicable in this case. We have been receiving interim dispositions for a very long time, and have been entering them. A lot of it is because they come across saying Final Disposition. A lot

of the courts were using the green sheets. When we went over the crystal reporting the way the case management system operates, any time there is sentencing posted it throws out a disposition. We do not have any way of knowing what is interim and what is not interim. If we receive it we post it. That is our biggest obstacle. I understand the limitations of some case management systems and how we pull the information through crystal. There is just no way to stop that.

Teresa Wiley: Can you give me an example of what an interim disposition that you would get would mean?

Guinevere Hobdy: My understanding of an interim disposition is if an individual is found guilty and they're sentenced, but that sentence is suspended while they do conditions, such as classes, probation, stay out of trouble, pay fines and upon completion of that, their sentence could be amended to dismissed or dropped down to a lesser charge. That is my understanding of an interim disposition, is that correct?

Agreement among others

Leslie Titus: Since the state currently captures them, I am all for continuing capturing them. I have talked to some of our prosecutors and they would absolutely like to see them. One of the other attendees has wondered why we capture them and I jumped in and said we need to; the prosecutors like to see them for example, but, as long as it is not mandatory. Just mandatory that they submit a final [disposition].

Guinevere Hobdy: I think the concept when the repository was created was that the repository was to capture the initial arrest and the final dispo, and nothing in-between. I think what has happened over time as we have evolved, and there have been certain requirements and regulations and determinations made by the dispo that we have captured that data along the way and it has been submitted. We can't tell and have to take everybody's word for it that what we are getting is a final. My understanding from all the different entities that use the rap sheet is that they like to see it on the rap sheet because they use it for determination purposes. If they do not see it on the rap sheet their only option is to call the court to get the information, like the Brady Unit or the Fingerprint Support Unit-for purposes of employment. They have to reach out and ask for that information anyway. If it appears on the rap sheet then it is useful to them. I do not know if in the future if we are going to differentiate between interim and final.

Unannounced: From the courts' stand point there is not a guarantee that that is an interim because if they do what they are supposed to do, great, but if they don't that is the final. That is just an element of the business.

Guinevere Hobdy: I think we need to be flexible at our end when it comes to that part of the business. And I also understand that the original sentence could be reinstated if they don't complete [the terms].

Teresa Wiley: I think on some of those they may require the person to register and if you do not know that or an officer on the street, let's say it is his second felony that would require him to register. If we do not know that he has been convicted of that. So I think originally when they said they did not want any interim dispositions, I think the intent meant, let's say, he pled guilty, but he had not gone to trial yet. Or he had gone to trial and he has not been sentenced yet, or those types of steps in the process.

Unannounced: I think that the word "interim" is very confusing.

Guinevere Hobdy: But that is all I have been hearing. So maybe it was just clarification of the word itself. To me, I say we are getting dispos all day long, but then I am told well that was an interim.

Unannounced: I would not call it interim either. If they are sentenced, they are sentenced, with conditions that if it has to change later it changes.

Unannounced: And many times the disposition itself won't change, even though elements of the sentence may change depending on what they comply with throughout the course of their sentencing term.

Guinevere Hobdy: So, maybe it is just a misuse of the word. And we will need to get rid of that word?

Teresa Wiley: I don't know if you should get rid of the word, because I do not think we want all the little steps. I would say define it better.

Unannounced: Because it is so readily used.

Guinevere Hobdy: Yes it is, so when we speak of interim we will need to clarify it and define what we are talking about. So, we can have a disposition, and we can have a final disposition, and it can change. It could be amended or modified.

Unannounced: (inaudible)

Guinevere Hobdy: So we receive dispositions and sometimes amended dispositions. So I think the state will look at it a little differently.

Laura Snyder: I know for a lot of our courts that the AOC sponsors that is the terminology that is used. The original disposition and the amended disposition. So that will be familiar [language] if you go that route.

Guinevere Hobdy: So we have the disposition and the amended dispo.

Joey Hastings: Original dispo, which you may define as interim but it is our original.

Laura Snyder: It follows the course of the charges.

Guinevere Hobdy: Good to know, do we have any action on this one? Lori?

Lori Story: No, I do not think so. Just clarification. There is nothing to take back to the committee.

5. Public comment

Guinevere Hobdy: Is there anything that anyone would like to bring to the table for me to bring back to the NCJIS Advisory Committee that I have to attend?

Joey Hastings: When you are going back and modifying the Subcommittee recommendations can you add the narrative and (inaudible) recommendations. I wonder if this dialog we just had about interim would be helpful piece to add in because I think we have got a lot of the alphabet soup addressed. And even acknowledgement of the alphabet soup that we all struggle with. I wonder if you can use the interim as an example. That term, as what our subcommittee was able to achieve as far as our different systems trying to translate. Because that is a pretty significant clarification.

Guinevere Hobdy: Ok, I will add that in there. One of our obstacles.

Joey Hastings: Or one of our opportunities.

Guinevere Hobdy: Yes! Always looking at the positive.

6. For possible action: Schedule next NCJIS Disposition Subcommittee meeting

Guinevere Hobdy: And we do not have to do item number six because that is not required anymore. Correct?

Lori Story: (inaudible)

Guinevere Hobdy: I guess the question is do you want another meeting after I meet with the NCJIS Advisory Committee on our recommendations, to follow up with everybody? Because this subcommittee was designed to be short term. I guess we should do one more meeting to do a wrap up. Yes, no?

Las Vegas unannounced: Yes

Guinevere Hobdy: And at the point of our next meeting we can determine if we will be wrapping up or if we need one or two more. Does that sound fair?

Unannounced: Yes.

Guinevere Hobdy: We can make that decision at that point after we get back from the NCJIS Advisory Committee their approval or non-approval. They may give us more assignments.

Unannounced: And the next meeting is July 9th?

Guinevere Hobdy: The advisory board meeting is July 9th. I am guessing people are on vacation. Depending on how quickly they ask us to move after we do these recommendations, will be when we ask for this next meeting.

Did everybody hear about IFC? Interim Finance Committee? We were approved for all 30 people, our ten permanent staff and 20 temporary staff to take care of the disposition back log. So we are going to be on a mad scramble.

Unannounced: How long do you estimate it will take for you to get caught up?

Guinevere Hobdy: Two years with 30 people.

Unannounced: Wow, that is a long time.

Guinevere Hobdy: The thought was to get it done if we were going to ask the courts to provide the information we could not have it sitting around for ten years.

Alright, if there is no further comment, the meeting is adjourned.

7. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 9:23 am.